Standard Cosmolog

Soft Cosmology

Confrontation with observations

16th Marcel Grossman - 2021, DE1 Session

Soft Dark Energy and Soft Dark Matter

Emmanuel N. Saridakis

National Observatory of Athens

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology	Soft Cosmology	Confrontation with observations
••••••	000	0000	
Goal and Motiv	vation		

- We examine the possibility of "soft cosmology", namely small deviations from the usual framework due to the effective appearance of soft-matter properties in the Universe sectors.
- [Emmanuel N. Saridakis, 2105.08646]
- [Emmanuel N. Saridakis et. al, to appear in arxiv soon]

Goal and Matix	ation		
000000	000	0000	0000000000
Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology	Soft Cosmology	Confrontation with observations

• Standard cosmology has been proven very efficient, qualitatively and quantitatively, in describing the Universe evolution and properties at early and late times, as well as at large and small scales.

 Cosmology has now become an accurate science, and slight disagreements and tensions between theory and observations lead to a large variety of extensions and modifications of the concordance paradigm.

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology	Soft Cosmology 0000	Confrontation with observations
Goal and Motiv	vation		

- Although in the usual ways of extensions one may add various novel fields, fluids, sectors, or alter the underlying gravitational theory [CANTATA Collaboration, 2105.12582], there is a rather strong assumption that is maintained in all of them:
- The sectors that constitute the Universe are simple, or equivalently that one can apply the physics, the hydrodynamics and thermodynamics of usual, "hard" matter.

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology 000	Soft Cosmology	Confrontation with observations

• In condensed matter physics it is well known that there is a large variety of "soft" matter forms, which are characterized by complexity, simultaneous co-existence of phases, entropy dominance, extreme sensitivity, viscoelasticity, etc.

 These properties arise effectively at intermediate scales due to scale-dependent effective interactions that are not present at the fundamental scales.

<i>Goal and Motivation</i>	Standard Cosmology 000	Soft Cosmology	Confrontation with observations
Soft matter			

 Soft matter is the one that has the properties of soft materials. Examples of soft materials are the polymers (plastic, rubber, polystyrene, lubricants etc), the colloids (paints, milk, ice-cream etc), the surfactants, granular materials, liquid crystals, gels, biological matter (proteins, RNA, DNA, viruses, etc), etc.



Goal and Motivation 00000€0	Standard Cosmology 000	Soft Cosmology	Confrontation with observations
Soft matter			

- Although these examples of soft matter are very different from each other, they have some common properties and features that distinguish them from usual, hard, matter. Amongst others these include:
- Complexity (new qualitative properties arise at intermediate scales due to interactions that are not present at the fundamental scales).
- Co-existence of phases (they have different phase properties depending on the scale at one examines them, e.g at the same time they can be fluid at small scales and solid at large scales).
- Entropy dominance instead of energy dominance, Flexibility, Extreme sensitivity to reactions, Viscoelasticity, etc.

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology	Soft Cosmology 0000	Confrontation with observations

- We examine the possibility that the dark sectors of the universe may exhibit (intrinsically or effectively) slight soft properties, which could then lead to small corrections to the corcodance model.
- The analysis holds independently of the underlying gravitational theory, i.e it is valid both in the framework of general relativity as well as in modified gravity.

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology ●00	Soft Cosmology 0000	Confrontation with observations
Standard Cos	mology		

- Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric $ds^2 = dt^2 a^2(t) \,\delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j$.
- Baryonic matter and radiation (i.e. all Standard Model particles), the dark matter sector, as well as the dark energy sector.
- Cosmological scales are suitably large in order to allow one to neglect the microphysics of the universe ingredients and describe them effectively through fluid dynamics and continuum flow. Hence, one can ignore the microscopic Lagrangians, and write their energy momentum tensors as $T^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} = (\rho_i + p_i)u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + p_ig_{\mu\nu},$

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology ○●○	Soft Cosmology 0000	Confrontation with observations

Standard Cosmology

• Friedmann equations

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3} (\rho_b + \rho_r + \rho_{dm} + \rho_{de}), \tag{1}$$

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa^2(p_b + p_r + p_{dm} + p_{de}),$$
 (2)

- Conservation equation $\nabla^{\mu} T_{\mu\nu}^{(tot)} = \nabla^{\mu} \left[\sum_{i} T_{\mu\nu}^{(i)} \right] = 0$ in the case of FRW geometry and for non-interacting fluids gives rise to $\dot{\rho}_i + 3H(\rho_i + \rho_i) = 0$, while the extension to interacting cosmology through $\sum_{i} Q_i = 0$ and with $\dot{\rho}_i + 3H(\rho_i + \rho_i) = Q_i$.
- $p_i = w_i \rho_i$ with w_i the equation-of-state parameter. ACDM cosmology is obtained for $\rho_{de} = -p_{de} = \Lambda/\kappa^2$, with Λ the cosmological constant.

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology 00●	Soft Cosmology	Confrontation with observations

Standard Cosmology

۲

• Small perturbations around the FRW background $ds^2 = -(1 + 2\Psi)dt^2 + a^2(t)(1 - 2\Phi)\gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j$.

$$\dot{\delta}_{i} + (1 + w_{i}) \left(\frac{\theta_{i}}{a} - 3\dot{\Psi} \right) + 3H[c_{\text{eff}}^{(i)2} - w_{i}]\delta_{i} = 0, \quad (3)$$
$$\dot{\theta}_{i} + H \left[1 - 3w_{i} + \frac{\dot{w}_{i}}{H(1 + w_{i})} \right] \theta_{i} - \frac{k^{2}c_{\text{eff}}^{(i)2}\delta_{i}}{(1 + w_{i})a} - \frac{k^{2}\Psi}{a} = 0, \quad (4)$$

 $\delta_i \equiv \delta \rho_i / \rho_i$ are the density perturbations and θ_i is the divergence of the fluid velocity. Furthermore, $c_{\rm eff}^{(i)2} \equiv \delta p_i / \delta \rho_i$ is the effective sound speed square of the *i*-th sector (it determines the amount of clustering).

• Poisson equation at sub-horizon scales $-\frac{k^2}{a^2}\Psi = \frac{3}{2}H^2\sum_i \left[\left(1 + 3c_{\text{eff}}^{(i)2} \right) \Omega_i \delta_i \right].$

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology 000	Soft Cosmology	Confrontation with observations
Soft Cosmology			

• Soft Dark Energy:

As a simple phenomenological model of soft dark energy we consider the case where dark energy has the usual EoS at large scales, namely at scales entering the Friedmann equations, but having a different value at intermediate scales, namely at scales entering the perturbation equations.

 We introduce the effective "softness parameter" s_{de} of the dark energy sector. At cosmological, large scales (ls) dark energy has the usual EoS, namely w_{de-ls}, at intermediate scales (is) we have

$$W_{de-is} = s_{de} \cdot W_{de-is}, \tag{5}$$

and standard cosmology is recovered for $s_{de} = 1$. The background evolution remains unaffected

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology 000	Soft Cosmology 0●00	Confrontation with observations
Soft Coomology			

• Soft Dark Matter:

We introduce the dark matter softness parameter s_{dm} (standard cosmology is recovered for $s_{dm} = 1$) as:

$$w_{dm-is}+1=s_{dm}\cdot(w_{dm-is}+1), \qquad (6)$$

(mind the difference in the parametrization comparing to soft dark energy, in order to handle the fact that the dark matter EoS at large scales w_{dm-ls} is 0).

 Note that it can effectively arise just due to the dark-energy clustering even if dark energy is not soft.

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology	Soft Cosmology 00●0	Confrontation with observations
Soft Cosmology	,		



The $f\sigma_8$ as a function of *z*. The dashed curve is for ACDM. The solid curve is for soft dark energy with $s_{de} = 1.1$, i.e. with $w_{de-ls} = -1$ and $w_{de-is} = -1.1$, and $c_{eff}^{(de)} = 0.1$, while dark matter is standard (i.e. not soft) with $w_{dm} = 0$.

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology 000	Soft Cosmology ○○○●	Confrontation with observations
Soft Cosmology			



The $f\sigma_8$ as a function of *z*. The dashed curve is for ACDM. The solid curve is for soft dark matter with softness parameter $s_{dm} = 1.05$, i.e. for dark matter with $w_{dm-ls} = 0$ and $w_{dm-ls} = 0.05$ (note that dark energy is not soft).

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology 000	Soft Cosmology 0000	<i>Confrontation with observations</i>

Models

- Model 1: Soft DE only. With $w_{de-ls} = -1$, $w_{dm-ls} = 0$ and fixed $s_{dm} = 1$. Only s_{de} is free.
- Model 2: Soft DE only. With DE following Chevallier-Polarski - Linder (CPL) parametrization, i.e with $w_{de-ls} = w_0 + w_a(1 - a)$, and with $w_{dm-ls} = 0$ and fixed $s_{dm} = 1$. Only s_{de} is free, and of course w_0 , w_a .
- Model 3: Soft DM only. With $w_{de-ls} = -1$, fixed $s_{de} = 1$, and with $w_{dm-ls} = 0$. Only s_{dm} is free.
- Model 4: Soft DM only. With DE following CPL, i.e with $w_{de-ls} = w_0 + w_a(1 a)$, fixed $s_{de} = 1$, and with $w_{dm-ls} = 0$. Only s_{dm} is free.
- Model 5: Both soft DE and DM. i.e $w_{de-ls} = -1$, $w_{dm-ls} = 0$, but with both s_{de} and s_{dm} free parameters.
- Model 6: Both soft DE and DM. i.e $w_{de-ls} = w_0 + w_a(1-a)$, $w_{dm-ls} = 0$, but with both s_{de} and s_{dm} free parameters.



Standard Cosmolog

Soft Cosmology

Confrontation with observations 000000000

Model 1: Soft DE only.

Parameters	Planck 2018	Planck 2018+BAO	Planck 2018+BAO+Pantheon
w_{de-is}	$-1.90^{+0.51+1.06}_{-0.75-1.1}$	$-1.90^{+0.51+1.09}_{-0.73-1.10}$	$-1.95^{+0.51+1.04}_{-0.74-1.05}$
s_{de}	$1.77^{+0.71+1.12}_{-0.78-1.11}$	$1.77^{+0.71+1.10}_{-0.78-1.14}$	$1.86^{+0.69+1.05}_{-0.74-1.15}$
Ω_{m0}	$0.315^{+0.0086+0.017}_{-0.0085-0.016}$	$0.310^{+0.0062+0.012}_{-0.0063-0.012}$	$0.309^{+0.0059+0.012}_{-0.0059-0.011}$
σ_8	$0.898^{+0.064+0.121}_{-0.069-0.114}$	$0.898^{+0.064+0.115}_{-0.068-0.115}$	$0.902^{+0.066+0.119}_{-0.070-0.114}$
$H_0 [\mathrm{Km/s/Mpc}]$	$67.40^{+0.60+1.25}_{-0.67-1.19}$	$67.76^{+0.46+0.91}_{-0.49-0.88}$	$67.81^{+0.44+0.86}_{-0.44-0.86}$
S_8	$0.920^{+0.068+0.117}_{-0.065-0.118}$	$0.912^{+0.066+0.115}_{-0.064-0.116}$	$0.916^{+0.069+0.121}_{-0.066-0.117}$
$z_{\rm eq}$	$3400.70^{+31.10+59.74}_{-30.67-58.92}$	$3383.84^{+22.98+44.59}_{-23.29-44.62}$	$3380.79^{+22.46+44.32}_{-22.38-42.96}$
$r_{\rm drag}$	$147.09^{+0.30+0.57}_{-0.29-0.57}$	$147.23^{+0.24+0.48}_{-0.24-0.47}$	$147.26^{+0.24+0.47}_{-0.24-0.47}$
$\Omega_{m0}h^2$	$0.1430^{+0.0013+0.0025}_{-0.0012}$	$0.1422^{+0.00096+0.0019}$	0.1421 + 0.00094 + 0.0019
$r_{ m drag}h$	$99.15^{+1.07+2.12}_{-1.08-2.04}$	$99.76^{+0.80+1.54}_{-0.80-1.52}$	$99.86^{+0.77+1.48}_{-0.76-1.49}$



Goal	and	Μ		
000	000	00		

Standard Cosmolog

Soft Cosmology

Model 3: Soft DM only

Parameters	Planck 2018	Planck 2018+BAO	Planck 2018+BAO+Pantheon
w_{dm-is}	$0.0012^{+0.00051+0.0013}_{-0.00094-0.0012}$	$0.0011^{+0.00040+0.0013}_{-0.00098-0.0011}$	$0.0010^{+0.00033+0.0012}_{-0.00099-0.0010}$
s_{dm}	$1.00120^{+0.00074+0.00156}_{-0.00075-0.00111}$	$1.00110^{+0.00072+0.00151}_{-0.00073-0.00103}$	$1.00104^{+0.00069+0.00149}_{-0.00071-0.00099}$
Ω_{m0}	$0.319^{+0.0085+0.017}_{-0.0085-0.017}$	$0.312^{+0.0060+0.012}_{-0.0061-0.012}$	$0.311^{+0.0056+0.011}_{-0.0058-0.011}$
σ_8	$0.818^{+0.0079+0.016}_{-0.0087-0.016}$	$0.814^{+0.0076+0.015}_{-0.0076-0.015}$	$0.814^{+0.0077}_{-0.0077}_{-0.015}_{-0.015}$
$H_0 [\mathrm{Km/s/Mpc}]$	$67.16^{+0.60+1.21}_{-0.60-1.17}$	$67.65^{+0.45}_{-0.44}$	$67.71_{-0.41-0.82}^{+0.42+0.83}$
S_8	$0.843^{+0.017+0.034}_{-0.018-0.034}$	$0.830^{+0.013}_{-0.013}^{+0.026}_{-0.013}_{-0.026}$	$0.829^{+0.013+0.025}_{-0.013-0.025}$
$z_{\rm eq}$	$3421.37_{-31.37-62.66}^{+31.92+62.90}$	$3395.57^{+23.22+46.42}_{-23.43-46.30}$	$3392.24^{+22.21+45.22}_{-22.60-44.13}$
$r_{\rm drag}$	$146.80^{+0.33+0.64}_{-0.34-0.66}$	$147.03_{-0.28-0.55}^{+0.28+0.55}$	$147.06^{+0.27+0.52}_{-0.27-0.55}$
$\Omega_{m0}h^2$	$0.1438^{+0.0013+0.0026}_{-0.0013-0.0026}$	$0.1427^{+0.00097+0.0019}_{-0.00098-0.0019}$	$0.1426^{+0.00093+0.0019}_{-0.0095-0.0018}$
$r_{ m drag}h$	$98.59^{+1.05+2.12}_{-1.05-2.05}$	$99.47^{+0.78+1.51}_{-0.77-1.52}$	$99.58_{-0.72-1.45}^{+0.74+1.46}$



Standard Cosmolog

Soft Cosmology

Model 6: Both soft DE and DM.

Parameters	Planck 2018	Planck 2018+BAO	Planck 2018+BAO+Pantheon
w_{dm-is}	$0.0012^{+0.00046+0.0013}_{-0.00098-0.0012}$	$0.0011^{+0.00035+0.0013}_{-0.0010-0.0011}$	$0.0011^{+0.00034+0.0013}_{-0.0010-0.0011}$
w_{de-is}	$-1.87^{+0.53+1.10}_{-0.76-1.13}$	$-1.92^{+0.52+1.06}_{-0.76-1.08}$	$-1.93^{+0.52+1.04}_{-0.73-1.07}$
s_{de}	$1.72^{+0.72+1.15}_{-0.69-1.09}$	$1.76^{+0.73+1.14}_{-0.77-1.16}$	$1.77^{+0.72+1.13}_{-0.78-1.09}$
s_{dm}	$1.00116^{+0.00074+0.00161}_{-0.00075-0.00109}$	$1.00108^{+0.00072+0.00155}_{-0.00072-0.00102}$	$1.00105^{+0.00070+0.00152}_{-0.00071-0.00099}$
Ω_{m0}	$0.317^{+0.0086+0.018}_{-0.0088-0.017}$	$0.311^{+0.0063+0.012}_{-0.0063-0.012}$	$0.310^{+0.0056+0.012}_{-0.0062-0.011}$
σ_8	$0.900^{+0.065+0.117}_{-0.069-0.115}$	$0.904^{+0.066+0.124}_{-0.072-0.116}$	$0.905^{+0.064+0.122}_{-0.070-0.114}$
$H_0 [\mathrm{Km/s/Mpc}]$	$67.32^{+0.63+1.23}_{-0.61-1.24}$	$67.73_{-0.46-0.91}^{+0.46+0.92}$	$67.82_{-0.43-0.85}^{+0.43+0.83}$
S_8	$0.925^{+0.068+0.118}_{-0.066-0.118}$	$0.920^{+0.067+0.125}_{-0.072-0.119}$	$0.919^{+0.065+0.124}_{-0.072-0.116}$
$z_{\rm eq}$	$3413.94^{+32.21+64.78}_{-33.06-63.28}$	$3393.38^{+23.59+47.04}_{-23.84-46.41}$	$3388.25^{+22.04+44.42}_{-24.18-43.62}$
$r_{\rm drag}$	$146.85^{+0.34+0.65}_{-0.34-0.66}$	$147.03_{-0.27-0.53}^{+0.27+0.53}$	$147.08^{+0.27+0.53}_{-0.27-0.54}$
$\Omega_{m0}\tilde{h}^2$	$0.1435^{+0.0013+0.0027}_{-0.0014-0.0026}$	$0.1426^{+0.00099+0.0020}_{-0.0010-0.0019}$	$0.1424^{+0.00092+0.0019}_{-0.0010-0.0018}$
$r_{\rm drag}h$	$98.86^{+1.10+2.16}_{-1.08-2.15-2.77}$	$99.58_{-0.80-1.55}^{+0.80+1.58}$	$99.75_{-0.76-1.46}^{+0.74+1.44}$

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology 000	Soft Cosmology 0000	<i>Confrontation with observations</i>
Soft Cosmology			



The fractal dimension has been experimentally found to cover all the range from 1 to 3 according to different materials (e.g. colloids of gold nanoparticle in aqueous media give $d_f = 1.75 \pm 0.05$ for diffusion-limited kinetics [S. Lazzari, et.al., Adv. Coll. Int. Sc. 235, 1.]). On the other hand, the large scale structure and the galaxy distribution in the Universe has a fractal dimension $d_f = 1.63 \pm 0.20$ [S. Teles et.al., Phys. Lett. B 813, 136034 (2021)].

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology 000	Soft Cosmology	<i>Confrontation with observations</i>

Conclusions

- We examined the possibility of "soft cosmology", namely small deviations from the usual framework due to the effective appearance of soft properties in the Universe sectors.
- Although the background evolution remains unaffected, even a slight softness at intermediate scales can improve the clustering behavior and alleviate e.g. the $f\sigma_8$ tension.
- Confrontation with observations seem to favour soft cosmology.
- Cuspy halo problem, Dwarf galaxy problem, etc?
- In order to incorporate complexity and estimate the scale-dependent behavior of the EoS's from first principles we should revise and extend the cosmological perturbation theory and perform a detailed mesoscopic statistical mechanical analysis.

Goal and Motivation	Standard Cosmology 000	Soft Cosmology 0000	<i>Confrontation with observations</i>

THANK YOU!

tandard Cosmology

Soft Cosmology

Confrontation with observations



http://www.physics.ntua.gr/corfu2021/index.html PLEASE REGISTER!