16th Marcel Grossmann Meeting, 5th July 2021



Interacting vacuum cosmology and observational constraints

David Wands

with Chakkrit Kaeonikhom, Hooshyar Assadullahi & Jascha Schwetschenko + Humberto Borges, Yuting Wang, Josue De Santiago, Gongbo Zhao, Levon Pogosian, Natalie Hogg, Marco Bruni & Rob Crittenden Institute of Cosmology and Gravitation, University of Portsmouth



motivation:



> parameterising dark energy in GR

> cosmological constant (w = -1, Q = 0, V=constant)

⇒ equation of state ($w \neq -1$, Q = 0, $\rho_{DE}(z)$)

+ interacting dark energy ($w \neq -1$, $Q \neq 0$, $\rho_{DE}(z)$)

> interacting vacuum (w = -1, $Q \neq 0$, V(z))

test through cosmological observations

> different perspectives on cosmological tensions

simplest dark energy model

> vacuum energy

o undiluted by expansiono no new degrees of freedom

$$\check{T}^{\mu}_{\nu} = -Vg^{\mu}_{\nu}$$

perfect fluid $T^{\mu}_{\nu} = Pg^{\mu}_{\nu} + (\rho + P)u^{\mu}u_{\nu}$ with $\check{\rho} = -\check{P} = V$

no particle flow, hence 4-velocity, u^{μ} , undefined

energy-momentum flow

> space-time dependent vacuum:

$$Q_{\nu} \equiv \nabla_{\mu} \check{T}^{\mu}_{\nu} = -\nabla_{\nu} V$$



GR requires conservation of total matter+vacuum energy

$$\nabla_{\mu}G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_N \nabla_{\mu} \left(T^{\mu}_{\nu} + \check{T}^{\mu}_{\nu} \right) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu}_{\nu} = -Q_{\nu}$$

• special case: $Q_{\nu} = 0$ gives cosmological constant

interacting vacuum cosmology homogeneous 3D space $\Rightarrow V = V(t), \ Q^{\mu} = (Q, \vec{0})$

Freese et al (1987); Berman (1991); Pavon (1991); Chen & Wu (1992); Carvalho et al (1992); Al-Rawaf & Taha (1996); Shapiro & Sola (2002); Sola (2011); ...

Continuity equations for matter + vacuum

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + P) = -Q,$$

$$\dot{V} = Q.$$

> simple models with one dimensionless parameter:

$$\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright \ Q = \alpha H \rho_m & \rightarrow \ \rho_m \propto a^{-(3+\alpha)} & \text{decaying DM / running vacuum} \\ \text{Shapiro et al (2003); Wang et al (2005); Alcaniz et al (2005); Gomez-Valent et al (2015)} \\ \blacktriangleright \ Q = -qHV & \rightarrow \ V \propto a^{-q} & \text{decaying vacuum} \\ & \text{Salvatelli et al (2014); Martinelli et al (2018)} \\ \blacktriangleright \ Q = \frac{3\alpha' H \rho_m V}{(\rho_m + V)} & \rightarrow \ \rho_m + V \propto \left(A + Ba^{-3(1+\alpha')}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha'}} & \text{Chaplygin gas} \end{array}$$

Bento, Bertolami & Sen (2005); Wang et al (2013)

interacting vacuum cosmology homogeneous 3D space $\Rightarrow V = V(t), \ Q^{\mu} = (Q, \vec{0})$

Freese et al (1987); Berman (1991); Pavon (1991); Chen & Wu (1992); Carvalho et al (1992); Al-Rawaf & Taha (1996); Shapiro & Sola (2002); Sola (2011); ...

Continuity equations for matter + vacuum

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + P) = -Q,$$

$$\dot{V} = Q.$$

Freedom to choose any V(t)

> could just be a description rather than an explanation?

distinguish physical models through perturbations...

vacuum fluid mechanics inhomogeneous 3D space $\Rightarrow V(t, \vec{x}) = V(t) + \delta V(t, \vec{x})$ $Q^{\mu} = (Q + \delta Q, \vec{f})$

> energy conservation:

$$\delta \dot{V} = \delta Q$$

momentum conservation:

$$\vec{\nabla}(-V) = \vec{\nabla}f$$

vanishing vacuum momentum requires vacuum pressure gradient balanced by force exerted on vacuum by matter

an equal and opposite force is exerted by the vacuum on matter

vacuum fluid mechanics inhomogeneous 3D space $\Rightarrow V(t, \vec{x}) = V(t) + \delta V(t, \vec{x})$ $Q^{\mu} = (Q + \delta Q, \vec{f})$

energy conservation:

$$\dot{\delta V} = \delta Q$$

> momentum conservation: $\vec{\nabla}(-V) = \vec{\nabla}f$ simplest case: $Q^{\mu} \propto u_m^{\mu}$ zero momentum transfer in comoving frame zero comoving vacuum perturbation matter follows geodesics

vanishing vacuum momentum requires vacuum pressure gradient balanced by force exerted on vacuum by matter

an equal and opposite force is exerted by the vacuum on matter

CMB power spectra: geodesic model



CMB fixes matter density at last scattering Q > 0 decreases matter density after last-scattering increases redshift of matter-dark energy equality

fixed horizon size \Rightarrow increases H_0

and increases σ_8



decaying DM: $Q = \alpha H \rho_m$ Kaeonikhom, Assadullahi, Schwetschenko & Wands, in prep see also Gomez-Valent et al (2015); Sola et al (2021)

geodesic decaying DM $Q = \alpha H \rho_m$ Kaeonikhom et al, *preliminary results* Planck 2018 TT,TE,EE Planck 2018 TT,TE,EE + CC Planck 2018 TT,TE,EE + BAO-RSD Planck 2018 TT,TE,EE + CC + BAO-RSD





redshift space distortions

peculiar velocities along line of sight lead to apparent distortions in galaxy power spectrum inferred from redshifts

real space



for *non-interacting* matter the velocity divergence can be identified with growth of structure

$$\dot{\delta}_m = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}_m , \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}_m)^2 \rangle = \langle \dot{\delta}_m^2 \rangle \sim (f\sigma_8)^2$$

but, for *interacting* matter energy transfer also contributes

$$\dot{\delta}_m = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}_m + \frac{\dot{V}}{\rho_m} \delta_m \,, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}_m)^2 \rangle = \langle \left(f - \frac{\dot{V}}{\rho_m} \right)^2 \delta_m^2 \rangle$$

Wang et al (2015) Borges & Wands (2017)

outstanding challenges

theoretical basis

> any dark energy w(z) can be decomposed into interacting matter+vacuum

but what is underlying microphysics?

 \succ can it describe $w \rightarrow -1$ limit of dynamical dark energy?

> how to make model-independent tests?

- **Switch on/off interaction**, Q(z) Salvatelli et al (2014); Sola et al (2021)
- principal component analysis Wang et al (2015); Hogg et al (2020)

> phenomenology

 \blacktriangleright degeneracy between Q and H_0 can ease CMB tension with high H_0

- \succ but increasing H_0 tends to increase σ_8
- > note that redshift space distortions not same as growth of structure, $f\sigma_8$, in presence of interaction

bonus slides

geodesic decaying dark matter

Kaeonikhom, Assadullahi, Schwetschenko & Wands, in prep







Reconstructing Q(z)

Wang, Zhao, Wands, Pogosian & Crittenden (2015)

$$Q(z) = \frac{3H\rho_m V}{\rho_m + V}\alpha(z)$$



Reconstructing Q(z)

Hogg, Bruni, Crittenden, Martinelli & Peirone (2020) Q(z) = -q(z)HV



Figure 3: The results of the cubic spline reconstruction of the coupling function q(z). Red and blue lines and areas refer to the fixed fiducial and mean fiducial cases respectively, and the shaded areas denote the 1σ confidence interval.



Figure 4: The results of the Gaussian process reconstruction of the coupling function q(z). Red and blue lines and areas refer to the fixed fiducial and mean fiducial cases respectively, and the shaded areas denote the 1σ confidence interval.

different physical models:

matter power spectrum

- > Barotropic model: $V = V(\rho_m)$
 - > adiabatic vacuum perturbation:
 - coupled sound speed:

$$\delta V = -\left(\frac{Q}{3H\rho_m + Q}\right)\delta\rho_m$$
$$c_s^2 = \frac{\dot{P}}{\dot{\rho}} = \frac{-\dot{V}}{\dot{\rho}_m + \dot{V}} = \frac{Q}{3H\rho_m}$$



barotropic unified dark models very tightly constrained by matter power spectrum $|\alpha'| < 10^{-6}$

Sandvik et al (2002)

different physical models Wands, De Santiago & Wang (2012)

> Barotropic model: $V = V(\rho_m)$

> adiabatic vacuum perturbation:

coupled sound speed:

$$\delta V = -\left(\frac{Q}{3H\rho_m + Q}\right)\delta\rho_m$$
$$c_s^2 = \frac{\dot{P}}{\dot{\rho}} = \frac{-\dot{V}}{\dot{\rho}_m + \dot{V}} = \frac{Q}{3H\rho_m}$$

> Geodesic model: $Q_v = Q u_v$

 \blacktriangleright zero momentum transfer in comoving frame: $f = -\delta V_{
m com} = 0$

> zero sound speed:

$$c_s^2 = \left(\frac{\delta P}{\delta \rho}\right)_{\rm com} = 0$$

matter power spectrum: geodesic perturbations

