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The Compact Binary Coalescences-Gamma Ray Burst connection

- Compact binary coalescences (CBC), proposed as progenitors of short GRBs (sGRBs)

- The unambiguous detection of **GW170817-GRB170817A** shows that at least a subsample of sGRBs have BNS as progenitors.

- **GW170817-GRB170817A**:  
  - Closest+dimmest sGRB, \( L_{\text{ISO}} \sim 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}, D_L \sim 40 \text{ Mpc} \)  
  - Regarding HE/VHE emission:  
    - No detection from Fermi-LAT: passing the South Atlantic Anomaly at the merger time, no significant detection at later times  
    - H.E.S.S. and HAWC followed up the event: ULs on the VHE emission.

- The joint GW-GRB detections:  
  - GW: properties of the binary system, i.e. mass, spins, eccentricity, inclinations, luminosity distances, rates..  
  - GRB: sky localization, host galaxy identification, emission processes, acceleration mechanisms..
Challenges in GW-follow-ups associated to the detection technique

- Gravitational waves can have really large localization uncertainty regions from 10s to 1000s deg²

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IACT⁺ Arrays</th>
<th>Ground-particle Arrays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field of view</td>
<td>3°–10°</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duty cycle</td>
<td>10%–30%</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy range</td>
<td>30 GeV – &gt;100 TeV</td>
<td>~500 GeV – &gt;100 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular resolution</td>
<td>0.05°–0.02°</td>
<td>0.4°–0.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy resolution</td>
<td>~7%</td>
<td>60%–20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background rejection</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td>90%–99.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescope

Particle detectors

IACTs
First VHE counterpart searches: Observation run O1 and O2

**O1**

- **GW151226 (BBH)**
  - MAGIC
  - 2nd BBH merger detected
  - Localization ~1400 deg²
  - Manually selected regions
  - Total of 2.6h, ~65.5h after the GW event

No significant excess found.

- **HAWC**
  - No significant excess found.

De Lotto, B. et al, 2016

**O2**

- **GW170104 (BBH)**
  - VERITAS
  - 21h after the GW event
  - 39 5-minute tiling pointings (~27% probability coverage)

No significant excess found.

- **HAWC**
  - No significant excess found.

Martinez-Castellanos, I. et al, 2018

**GW151226**

Martinez-Castellanos, I. et al, 2018

**GW170104**

Martinez-Castellanos, I. et al, 2018

Santander, M. et al., ICRC 2019, PoS 358
Observation strategy for IACTs to maximise the probability to cover the source

- Due to the large localization uncertainty regions, observation strategies are key to cover the source of GW with small/mid-size telescopes.

- Implementation for IACTs:
  - Dedicated observation scheduling algorithm selected depending on the parameters of the events (most probable source type, 3D uncertainty region).
  - Correlation of the 3-dimensional localization uncertainty region with galaxy catalogs.
    - A probability of hosting the event is associated to each galaxy.

First VHE counterpart searches: Observation run O1 and O2

**O1**
- **GW151226 (BBH)**
  - MAGIC
  - 2nd BBH merger detected
  - Localization ~1400 deg^2
  - Manually selected regions
  - Total of 2.6h, ~65.5h after the GW event

  No significant excess found.
  
  De Lotto, B. et al, 2016

- **HAWC**
  - No significant excess found.

**O2**
- **GW170104 (BBH)**
  - VERITAS
  - 21h after the GW event
  - 39 5-minute tiling pointings (~27% probability coverage)

  No significant excess found.

  Santander, M. et al., ICRC 2019, PoS 358

- **HAWC**
  - No significant excess found.

- **GW170814 (BBH)**
  - H.E.S.S
  - 3 IFO localisation: 60 deg^2
  - First large probability coverage (~95%, 68% after analysis cuts)

  No significant excess found.

  Ashkar, H. et al., 12th INTEGRAL conference, arXiV:1906.10426

GW151226
Martinez-Castellanos, I. et al, 2018

GW170104
Martinez-Castellanos, I. et al, 2018

GW170814
Martinez-Castellanos, I. et al, 2018
**O2: Prompt observations of GW170817 at VHE with H.E.S.S.**

- First ground-based pointing instrument on target
  - 5.3h after the signal GW170817 from the BNS merger
  - 5 minutes after the update of the GW skymap (LHV reconstruction)
  - Successful coverage of the source in first observations thanks to the use of galaxy catalogs

- 5 days of monitoring until the source was no longer in the H.E.S.S. FoV
- Constraints on the VHE emission from external Inverse Compton in afterglow shock with X-ray photons

---

O2: More observations of GW170817 at VHE

- **HAWC follow-up observations**
  - **Short term:** GW170817 entered in FoV 8h after merger, for 2.03h.
    - No significant emission was detected, ULs: $1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (100GeV - 1 TeV)
  - **Long term:** Flux limits derived above 40 TeV over 9 consecutive logarithmic time windows.

- **H.E.S.S. long-term follow-up observations**
  - Observation campaign during the peak at X-ray and radio
  - Constraining the magnetic field by connecting the synchrotron and SSC emission

Observation run O3: consolidation of gravitational wave astronomy

- A (little less than a) year of observations due to Covid-19 (April 2019 - end March 2020)

- A total of 56 online detection candidates after 24 retractions (gracedb.ligo.org)
  - Several possible source probability: BBH(38), BNS(6), BH-NS (5), MassGap (4), Terrestrial(3) events
  - No electromagnetic counterpart associated+confirmed to any of these events

- Some results from O3:
  - Catalog papers GWTC-2 (O3a): 39 candidates (extra 13 compared to online searches)
  - Binary systems with largely asymmetric masses: GW190412, GW190814
  - NS-NS candidates: GW190425 (Total Mass ~3.4 M\(_\odot\))
  - Intermediate-mass black holes (IMBHs): GW190521(Total Mass of 150M\(_\odot\))
  - Recent publication: first two BH-NS systems: GW200105 (8.9+1.9 M\(_\odot\)), GW200115 (5.7+1.5 M\(_\odot\))
**O3: observations of IACTs**

**MAGIC**

- Semi-automatic strategy, with two cases of interest:
  - Follow-up of well localized GW ($\sim 10^\circ$ at 90% CL) with good observation conditions
  - Follow-up of identified transients by other facilities and shared through GCN & ATels


**VERITAS**

- Observation strategy consisting on short exposures of 5 minutes, using the Greedy Traveling Salesman algorithm

  Santander, M. et al., ICRC 2019, PoS 358

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GW ID</th>
<th>Delay [hrs]</th>
<th>Compact binary coalescence type</th>
<th>Prob. covered</th>
<th>VERITAS obs. [hrs]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S190412m</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>BBH: $&gt; 99%$</td>
<td>$\sim 50%$</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S190425z</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>BNS: $&gt; 99%$</td>
<td>$\sim 2%$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S190426c</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>NSBH:60%, MG: 25%, BNS:15%</td>
<td>$\sim 20%$</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S190707q</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>BBH: $&gt; 99%$</td>
<td>$\sim 30%$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**H.E.S.S.**

- Automatic response with scheduling algorithms which maximise the total probability covered with observations
  - Use of galaxy catalogs


  BBH Favorable zenith angle $P_{\text{covered}} \sim 21\%$
  
  BBH Neutrino alerts during the same day $P_{\text{covered}} \sim 64\%$

  NSBH Bad weather $P_{\text{covered}} \sim 2.4\% / 25\%$

  BBH Bad weather $P_{\text{covered}} \sim 70\%$
O3: observations with particle detectors

HAWC

- Automated, low-latency response search of clusters of gamma-rays in the field-of view (~2 sr) during the entire O3 run
  - Timescales (0.3 - 100s), in steps of 20%

- Follow-up of all the events during O3 in the instrument’s FoV
  - Issue GCN Circulars with the results of these analysis, even if no candidate is found.
  - Special focus on events whose progenitor contains NS
  - **No significant emission detected in any of these searches**
    - Analysed the 25% (S190425z), 28% (S190426c) and 4% (S190510g)
    - S191216ap BBH (99%): Subthreshold trigger (4.6 sigma pre-trials, 10 s search) found (GCN #26472)
      - IceCube neutrino in coincidence
      - Cross-match with galaxy catalogs to look for candidates => Follow-up by MASTER, Subaru, VLA…
      - No candidate was identified in other wavelengths

The HLVK observing run O4

- Next observing run of Virgo-LIGO-Kagra is O4
  - Planned to start not before June 2022
  - 1-year observing run

- Substantial improvement in the sensitivity yield to:
  - Increase of the horizon -> Number of detection
  - Larger signal-to-noise ratio for closer events
    - Better localization
    - Accumulate large part of the signal before the merger => Early Warning alerts

Next generation IACT: The Cherenkov Telescope Array

Key points on GW counterpart searches of CTA

- 5-10x sensitivity and collection area
- Large FoV of 8°
- Rapid slewing of the telescopes (~20 s)
- Energy coverage down to ~20 GeV and up to ~300 TeV
- Two-hemisphere coverage thanks to North-South sites

LST-1 currently taking data, will be there for O4!
Gravitational Wave follow-up with CTA

- First studies on the detectability of joint sGRB-GW at VHE:
  - CTA may be capable of efficiently detecting late-time high-energy gamma-ray emission from short GRBs
  - Survey mode+GRB090510-like source+300Mpc, Syn+IC
  - Joint rate of \( \sim 0.03 \) yr\(^{-1} \)

- Patricelli B. et al., JCAP 05 (2016) 056 + JCAP 05 (2018) 056
  - Exposure is chosen as the time needed to get 5 sigma post-trials signal

\[
\int_{T_j}^{T_j+t_{th}} F(t)dt \geq F_{th}(t_{th});
\]

- Survey mode+ GRB 090510-like source to 30/100 GeV
- Joint rate of \( \sim 0.001-0.08 \) yr\(^{-1} \) (\( E_{iso}10^{49}-10^{52} \) erg, 100 GeV cut-off)

Detailed simulations of joint GRB-GW detections ongoing:
- Study the prospects for joint GRB-GW detections with CTA
- Optimize observation strategy

- CTA may be capable of efficiently detecting late-time high-energy gamma-ray emission from short GRBs
- Survey mode+GRB090510-like source+300Mpc, Syn+IC
- Joint rate of \( \sim 0.03 \) yr\(^{-1} \)

CTA consortium, in preparation

Seglar-Arroyo, M. et al., 36th ICRC, PoS790, 2019
Patricelli et al. ICRC 2021
Conclusions

- Really exciting times ahead for joint detections of GW-sGRB

- First proof of the connection BNS-sGRB with GW170817-GRB170817A

- GW network will improve their sensitivity in the coming years: O4, O5, post-O5, Einstein Telescope/Cosmic explorer…
  - Crucial impact on number of events and localization uncertainty regions

- Gamma-ray observatories, current and future generations:
  - Implementation of optimized observation strategies in IACTs, large sky coverage in ground particle arrays.
  - Short-term and long-term GW follow-up campaigns in place

- Recent detections at VHE gamma-ray from GRB by H.E.S.S. and MAGIC are a major milestone in GRB physics
  - First three detections of lGRBs GRB180720A, GRB190114C, GRB190829A and hint of sGRB detection of GRB160821B
  - Promising expectations for GRB detection in the future with IACTs and particle detectors.

- Lots of open questions about the VHE GRB emission in sGRB/lGRB being tackled!
  - Emission mechanisms, progenitors, physics of the jet, particle acceleration…

Stay tuned!
Thanks!
Back-up
Early Warning alerts

- Shorten to its minimum the latency between the various steps of the chain, before the alert is sent.
- System under commissioning during O4 => The progress on shortening the latency for early warning alerts in the different steps of the chain may be used for ‘standard’ alerts in the future.
- Caviats: end of the inspiral contributes a lot to the SNR. These last seconds are key to cross-match h(t) signal seen in different IFO
  - Big impart in localization

- Expectation:
  - only 5-30% will be amenable for sending early warning alerts.
  - At 60 s before merger, one event per year is expected to be localized to within 400 deg².
  - At 30 seconds before merger, at least one event per year is expected to be localized to within 40 deg² and ~4 events per year are expected to be localized to within 400 deg².
  - By 10 seconds before merger, ~10 events per year are expected to be localized to within 400 deg².

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>29 Hz</th>
<th>32 Hz</th>
<th>38 Hz</th>
<th>49 Hz</th>
<th>56 Hz</th>
<th>1024 Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>250 Mpc</td>
<td>210 Mpc</td>
<td>160 Mpc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final SNR</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localization accuracy (90% credible area)</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
<td>12000 deg²</td>
<td>10000 deg²</td>
<td>8200 deg²</td>
<td>730 deg²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2300 deg²</td>
<td>1000 deg²</td>
<td>250 deg²</td>
<td>10 deg²</td>
<td>31 deg²</td>
<td>5.4 deg²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Probability selection algorithms

- **2D Algorithm**: 2D localization uncertainty region is considered

  **One-pixel algorithm**
  Select individual high-probability **pixels** of the GW sky map

  **Pixels-in-FoV algorithm**
  Integrate the localization uncertainty in FoV region and select the highest in the ranking
  \[ P_{GW}^{FoV} = \int_{0}^{FoV_{H.E.S.S.}} \rho_i d\rho_i \]

- **3D Algorithm**: 3D posterior ‘GW x galaxy’ probability distribution is considered

  **One-galaxy algorithm**
  Select individual high-probability **galaxies**

  **Galaxies-in-FoV algorithm**
  Integrate the 3D posterior ‘GW x galaxy’ probability distribution in FoV region and select the highest in the ranking
  \[ P_{GWxGAL}^{FoV} = \int_{0}^{FoV_{H.E.S.S.}} P_{GWxGAL}^i dP_{GWxGAL}^i \]

The selection of regions of probability is iterative => Each region of probability defines an observation
The total pointing pattern defines the coverage of the observations

Gravitational waves follow-up performance paper

Figure 5. Difference of the cumulative $P_{GW}$ per pointing between $PGW-in-FoV$ and Best-pixel.

Figure 6. Total $P_{GAL}$ and $P_{GW}$ simulated coverage distributions for PGalinFoV and PGalinFoV-PixRegion.

Figure 8. Absolute time for the computation of each step of the PGalinFov algorithm for $N_{side} = 512$ maps up to an area of 1000 deg$^2$.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IACT Arrays</th>
<th>Field of view</th>
<th>Duty cycle</th>
<th>Energy range</th>
<th>Angular resolution</th>
<th>Energy resolution</th>
<th>Background rejection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3°–10°</td>
<td>10%–30%</td>
<td>30 GeV – &gt;100 TeV</td>
<td>0.05°–0.02°</td>
<td>~7%</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HAWC/SWGO

- **HAWC:**
  - Design: 300 water Cherenkov tanks 4 PMTs/tank + 350 smaller tanks
  - Inst. FoV of 2sr (1/6 sky)
  - 95% uptime
  - Energy range: 0.1-100 TeV

- **SWGO:** higher, larger, denser!
  - 5000 m. a.s.l.
  - Southern sky
  - Sparse Array: 1000 units covering 221,000 m²
  - Dense array: 4000 units covering 80,000 m²

- Goal: Order of magnitude higher sensitivity than current generation instruments like HAWC

Complementarity between HAWC/LHAASO and SWGO.
Next generation combined: Large High Altitude Air Shower Observatory

LHAASO*
Sichuan, China, 4410 m asl

5195 Scintillators
- 1 m² each
- 15 m spacing

1171 Muon Detectors
- 36 m² each
- 30 m spacing

3000 Water Cherenkov Cells
- 25 m² each

12 Wide Field Cherenkov Telescopes

* Completion of LHAASO construction expected for 2020

HESS (50 hours)
MAGIC II (50 hours)
CTA South (50 hours)
CTA North (50 hours)
HAWC (1 year)
LHAASO (1 year)

HAWC

Completion of LHAASO construction expected for 2020

Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplement 00 (2016) 1–8
Different approaches in joint GW-GRB simulations (1/3)


- GRB emission as a GRB090510-like source:
  - Use temporal decay and spectral index + Distance= 300Mpc
  - $T_{\text{start}} = 100$ s, $T_{\text{end}} = 1000$ s

- Synchrotron emission by a shocked electron population with power-law index $p = 2.5$
  - Cut-off at 0.1 and 1 TeV.

- SSC emission considered for realistic choice of the parameters $n_e$, $\epsilon_B$, $\epsilon_e$ (fraction of energy in magnetic fields), $\epsilon_e$ (fraction of energy carried by electrons, $E_{\text{kin}}$ (kinetic energy), and electron population power-law index $p$ to describe the synchrotron component and calculate the SSC flux.

- Late rebrightening due to a SSC forward shock SSC emission in a refreshed shock OR continuous injection.

Scheduler: Survey mode

About GRB090510 (Abdo et al. 2009).

- LAT emission from the energetic GRB 090510 $10^{53}$ erg, observed for up to $\sim 200$ s
- $z = 0.9$ highest photon at 30 GeV
- temporal index: $\alpha = -1.38$

Detectability as ratio between fluence assuming synchrotron emission vs. sensitivity CTA in survey mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (energy cutoff)</th>
<th>50 GeV</th>
<th>100 GeV</th>
<th>1 TeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (CTA) (10$^{-3}$ erg cm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (CTA - survey; 1000 deg$^2$)</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (CTA - survey; 200 deg$^2$)</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (GRB090510-like; $D_L = 5.8$ Gpc)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (GRB090510-like; $D_L = 300$ Mpc)</td>
<td>33,000</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ ($E_{\text{kin}} = 10^{51}$ erg; $D_L = 300$ Mpc)</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ ($E_{\text{kin}} = 10^{53}$ erg; $D_L = 300$ Mpc; $t_{\text{start}} = 30$ s)</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ ($E_{\text{kin}} = 10^{53}$ erg; $D_L = 300$ Mpc; $t_{\text{start}} = 10^3$ s)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ ($n = 10^{-2}$ cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>5300</td>
<td>3700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ ($n = 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_{\text{sync}}$</th>
<th>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (50 GeV)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (100 GeV)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (1 TeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{sync}}$</td>
<td>50 GeV</td>
<td>100 GeV</td>
<td>1 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (CTA) (10$^{-3}$ erg cm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (CTA - survey; 1000 deg$^2$)</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (CTA - survey; 200 deg$^2$)</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (GRB090510-like; $D_L = 5.8$ Gpc)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ (GRB090510-like; $D_L = 300$ Mpc)</td>
<td>33,000</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ ($E_{\text{kin}} = 10^{51}$ erg; $D_L = 300$ Mpc)</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ ($E_{\text{kin}} = 10^{53}$ erg; $D_L = 300$ Mpc; $t_{\text{start}} = 30$ s)</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ ($E_{\text{kin}} = 10^{53}$ erg; $D_L = 300$ Mpc; $t_{\text{start}} = 10^3$ s)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ ($n = 10^{-2}$ cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>5300</td>
<td>3700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{sync}}$ ($n = 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Fluence values for different emission and detection scenario presented in the text, in units of 10$^{-3}$ erg cm$^{-2}$. For different emission and detection scenario $E_{\text{sync}}$, CTAtable values for single pointing and survey mode ($S_{\text{sync}}$, $S_{\text{sync}}$) are shown for 1000 s duration, and for 1000 deg$^2$ as well as 200 deg$^2$ observable sky area in the case of the survey mode (see Section 4.1). These detection fluence values are calculated conservatively from the differential sensitivity of CTA (see text). For the fluence of synchrotron emission ($S_{\text{sync}}$), we take the parameters of GRB090510, and an observation starting at $t_{\text{start}} = 100$ s after the binary merger and lasting for $t_{\text{total}} = 1000$ s, except for the parameters stated explicitly in the table. For the fluence of SSC emission ($S_{\text{sync}}$), we consider isotropic-equivalent GRB energy $E_{\text{kin}} = 10^{51}$ erg, an observation with $t_{\text{start}} = 100$s and $t_{\text{total}} = 1000$s, and circumburst number density $n$ stated in the table.
Different approaches in joint GW-GRB simulations (2/3)

- Patricelli B. et al., JCAP 05 (2018) 056 + JCAP 05 (2018) 056
  - GW: GWCosmos
  - Montecarlo simulation pipeline: BNS +detection by GW interferometers.
  - 830 Gpc-3yr-1
  - Local Universe up to 500 Mpc

- GRB emission as a GRB090510-like source til 30/100 GeV
  - A power-law with exponential cut-off spectrum
  - Spectral index $\beta=-2.1$ and two different values for the cut-off energy: 30 GeV and 100 GeV.
  - Correction on the redshift + isotropic energy
  - No limit on the duration (since probably Fermi-LAT is a experimental biased)

- Exposure of CTA:10000 seconds as max

- Scheduler: Survey mode + exposure obtained as time needed to get 5 sigma post-trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_{\text{iso}}$ (ergs)</th>
<th>cut-off (GeV)</th>
<th>EM and GW (yr$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^{49}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$&lt; 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{50}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{51}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^{52}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_{\text{iso}}$ (ergs)</th>
<th>cut-off (GeV)</th>
<th>% of events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obs. region = 90%</td>
<td>Obs. region $\geq$ 50 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{49}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{50}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{51}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^{52}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ratio between the CTA coverage with consecutive observations estimated with the strategy proposed in this work and using a constant observing time for each field.

3.5 $10^{52}$ erg (magenta), $10^{51}$ erg (blue), $10^{50}$ erg (green) and $10^{49}$ erg (red); the dotted (solid) lines refer to a cut-off energy of 100 GeV (30 GeV)
Different approaches in joint GW-GRB simulations (3/3)

- Seglar-Arroyo, M. et al. 36th ICRC, PoS790, 2019
  - GW: GWCosmos
  - GRB: Purely phenomenological approach based on observations of Fermi-LAT GRBs.
  - Randomly associate Eiso from the intrinsic distribution inferred for short GRBs.
  - The 0.1-10GeV luminosity as a function of time, based on LAT GRBs, and in particular in GRB090510.
  - During the initial phase of the afterglow emission (before deceleration) the flux is assumed to be proportional to t^2 (as expected for homogeneous medium); the afterglow onset is fixed at tpeak = 3s; during the deceleration phase the luminosity decreases as t^{-1.4}.
  - To normalize the light curve, we use the correlation found in a sample of 10 LAT GRBs, including also GRB 090510.
  - Power law with photon index -2.1 and normalization derived from the integrated luminosity 0.1-10 GeV, extrapolated up to 10 TeV.
  - Consider the viewing angle and apply a correction assuming a homogeneous jet.
  - Scheduler, following:
    - Updates@ ICRC 2021