

Are X-rays the $new \gamma$ -rays in neutrino astronomy?

Imre Bartos University of Florida

Marcel Grossmann Meeting | Pescara, Italy | 07.08.2024



High-energy neutrino production and gravity

Requires particle acceleration. Likely accreting black holes.

- SMBH binaries in galaxy mergers
- Stellar-mass black hole binaries in AGNs sou

gravitational-wave sources

Neutrinos can help understand the accretion process and can be multi-messenger indicators of black hole dynamics (see next talk by Zsuzsa Marka).

γ -rays as multi-messenger counterparts

Neutrinos are difficult to detect.

- Few are expected.
- Could be atmospheric.
- Poor direction reconstruction.

Neutrino sources also produce γ -rays (~same luminosity, spectrum)

→ natural multi-messenger target.

Gamma-ray bursts?

Multi-messenger neutrino sources?

- Bright in γ -rays
- Total γ-ray energy production comparable to that of cosmic-rays (Waxman & Bahcall 1997)
- Short transients → ~no background



An absence of neutrinos associated with cosmic-ray acceleration in γ -ray bursts

Blazars?

Active galactic nuclei with jets pointing towards Earth.

Promising option:

- Few sources can accelerate particles to >PeV
- Majority of cosmic γ -rays (Fermi-LAT; 86^{+16}_{-14} % for > 50GeV; Mauro 2016)

 γ -ray diffuse flux might be mostly cascaded emission from neutrino source.

Stacked analyses put **limits** on blazar contribution (e.g. < 30%, Fermi 2LAC blazars; Aartsen+ 2017)



Multi-messenger discovery – blazar TXS0506+056



- Neutrino alert: IceCube-170922A (290 TeV)
- Direction coincident with blazar TXS 0506+056
- Blazar was in flaring state
- Variability? (e.g. MASTER flare 2h after ν)
- Further neutrinos detected in 2014-2015.

 \Box No flare \otimes



γ -suppressed blazars?



Kun, Bartos, Becker Tjus, Biermann, Halzen, Mezo ApJ Lett 2021

(non-blazar) AGNs as neutrino sources

- Seyfert **<u>NGC 1068</u>**: detected as bright neutrino source.
- Neutrino flux: $\sim 100 \times$ greater than γ -ray flux (MAGIC)
- Most Seyferts are radio quiet no jet?
- Attenuation due to accretion flow + corona → Hard X-ray?





(non-blazar) AGNs as neutrino sources

- Seyfert **NGC 1068:** detected as bright neutrino source.
- Neutrino flux: $\sim 100 \times$ greater than γ -ray flux (MAGIC)
- Most Seyferts are radio quiet no jet?
- Attenuation due to accretion flow + corona → Hard X-ray?





- Use AGN hard X-ray flux to look for neutrino sources.
- Two more AGNs: NGC 4151 & NGC 3079
- Hard X-ray (Swift-BAT extrapolated)

Difference between emission mechanisms?



All detected sources are accreting supermassive black holes. Whatever produces neutrinos in non-blazar AGNs should also work in blazars.

Difference between emission mechanisms?

Updated Seyfert hard X-ray using NuSTAR data – strengthened correlation



No similar association for soft X-rays

Kun, Bartos, Becker Tjus, Biermann, Franckowiak, Halzen, del Palacio 2024

Other AGNs?

analysis result model expectation * 70 60 NGC 1068 5040 events CGCG 420-015 NGC 4151 30 \bigcirc 20NGC 6240 NGC 4388 10 0 0.20.80.00.61.00.4 $\sin(\text{Dec})$

IceCube neutrino search over X-ray-bright AGNs:

- Search only over the AGNs brightest in X-rays.
- Neutrino fluxes consistent with expectations based on X-ray flux
- More "borderline" AGNs that may rise over the detection in the near future.

IceCube 2024 (2406.07601)

Summary

- Neutrino sources appear to be γ -obscure
- All neutrino associations so far are accretion supermassive black holes (blazars, Seyferts, TDEs?)
- Could their neutrino production be similar?
- Blazar: individual neutrinos appear to occur in γ "dips"
 - ➢ Beam dump?
- Hard X-ray flux ≈ neutrino flux in 3 Seyferts & TXS 0506+056
 - \succ They are γ obscure
 - > X-ray emission can help identify neutrino sources.
 - Similar level of attenuation = similar process/location?
 - Could emission mechanism be related for blazars and Seyferts?



