### Evolution of structures in shift-symmetric Galileon models

Francesco Pace

University of Torino & INFN, Italy

Jul 9 2024, MG17, Pescara

based on I. Albuquerque, N. Frusciante, F. Pace, C. Schimd, PRD, 109, 023535

- Lagrangian:  $\mathcal{L} = G_2(X) + G_3(X) \Box \phi + \frac{1}{2} M_{\text{pl}}^2 R$
- Linear perturbations in the quasi-static regime described by two functions,  $\mu^L$  and  $\Sigma^L$
- $-k^2\Psi = 4\pi G_{\rm N}a^2\mu^{\rm L}(a,k)\bar{\rho}_{\rm m}\delta_{\rm m}, -k^2(\Psi+\Phi) = 4\pi G_{\rm N}a^2\Sigma^{\rm L}(a,k)\bar{\rho}_{\rm m}\delta_{\rm m}$
- Linear perturbations:  $\mu^{\rm L} = \Sigma^{\rm L} = 1 + rac{2a_{\rm B}^2}{ac_{\rm c}^2}$
- Nonlinear Vainshtein screening
- Everything can be written in terms of the EFT functions  $\alpha_{\rm K}$  and  $\alpha_{\rm B}$





・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### EFT functions for linear perturbations

- $H(t) [w_{ds}(t)]$ : background evolution
- $\alpha_{\rm K}(t)$ : "kineticity" kinetic energy, large  $\alpha_{\rm K} \rightarrow {\rm small} \ c_{\rm s}^2$
- α<sub>B</sub>(t): "braiding" mixing of kinetic terms and metric, contributes to DE clustering
- α<sub>M</sub>(t): "running rate of the Planck mass" M<sup>2</sup>(t) Hα<sub>M</sub> = d ln M<sup>2</sup>dt, contributes to the anisotropic stress
- α<sub>T</sub>(t): "tensor speed excess" c<sup>2</sup><sub>gw</sub>/c<sup>2</sup> = 1 + α<sub>T</sub>, contributes to the anisotropic stress
- $\alpha_{\rm H}(t)$ : "beyond Horndeski" higher order terms that cancel in the e.o.m.
- Stability conditions:  $c_s^2 > 0$ ,  $c_T^2 > 0$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha_K + 6\alpha_B^2 > 0$

Bellini & Sawicki, 2014; Gleyzes et al., 2014, 2015





- We need to specify  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  to fix  $\alpha_K$  and  $\alpha_B$
- Here we pursue a more general approach not specifying the *G* functions
- KGB features are encoded in w<sub>de</sub>(a) and α<sub>B</sub>(a)
- This modelling fits more than 98% of the randomly generated models (Traykova et al., 2021)
- $w_{de}(a) = w_0 + w_a(1-a), \alpha_B(a) = \alpha_{B,0} \left(\frac{H_0}{H(a)}\right)^{4/m}, \alpha_{K,0} = 10$
- The baseline model is  $\{w_0, w_a, \alpha_{B,0}, m\} = \{-0.97, -0.11, -0.30, 2.4\}$





・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

## Evolution of $\alpha_{\rm B}$







▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ ― 匡 - のへで

### How do linear perturbations evolve?

 $\ddot{\delta}_{\mathrm{m}} + 2H\dot{\delta}_{\mathrm{m}} - 4\pi G_{\mathrm{N}}\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{m}}\,\mu^{\mathrm{L}}(a)\delta_{\mathrm{m}} = 0$ 







・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

### Effective gravitational constant

Recall the definition of the linear effective gravitational constant  $\mu^{L} = 1 + \frac{2 \alpha_{B}^{2}}{a c_{s}^{2}}$ 







▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

#### How can we compute nonlinear perturbations?

- Assume the validity of the quasi-static approximation
- Poisson equation:  $\nabla^2 \Psi = rac{ar{
  ho}_{m} \delta_{m}}{2M_{pl}^2} + \alpha_{\rm B} H \nabla^2 \chi$
- Evolution of the scalar field:  $\nabla^2 \chi + \lambda^2 \left[ \left( \nabla_i \nabla_j \chi \right)^2 \left( \nabla^2 \chi \right)^2 \right] = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2M_{2i}^2} \bar{\rho}_m \delta_m$
- Consider spherical symmetry
- Nonlinear effective gravitational constant  $\mu^{\rm NL} = 1 + 2(\mu^{\rm L} - 1) \left(\frac{R}{R_{\rm V}}\right)^3 \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{R^3}{R_{\rm V}^3}} - 1\right)$
- Vainshtein radius  $R_V^3 = 8\lambda^4 G_N M$   $\left(\lambda^2 \equiv -\frac{2a_B^2}{Hac_s^2}\right)$
- Nonlinear Poisson equation:  $\nabla^2 \Psi = 4\pi G_N \mu^{NL}(a, R) a^2 \bar{\rho}_m \delta_m$





・ コ ト ・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・

### Nonlinear effective gravitational constant







◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 のへで

• Use the spherical collapse model:

$$\ddot{\delta}_{\mathrm{m}} + 2H\dot{\delta}_{\mathrm{m}} - rac{4}{3}rac{\dot{\delta}_{\mathrm{m}}^2}{1+\delta_{\mathrm{m}}} - 4\pi G_{\mathrm{N}}\mu^{\mathrm{NL}}ar{
ho}_{\mathrm{m}}\delta_{\mathrm{m}}(1+\delta_{\mathrm{m}}) = 0$$

- A stable perturbation satisfies the virial theorem  $T + \frac{1}{2}U = 0$
- Energy conservation is not strictly satisfied in modified gravity models, so we use it to find the virialization time
- Virial overdensity:  $\Delta_{\text{vir}} = [1 + \delta_{\text{m}}(R_{\text{vir}})] \left(\frac{a_{\text{coll}}}{a_{\text{vir}}}\right)^3$





・ロト ・ 御 ト ・ 臣 ト ・ 臣 ト

### Linearly extrapolated overdensity







<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

### Virial overdensity







▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで







# Halo number counts

- Structures grow differently from standard scenario
- This is true both at linear and nonlinear perturbations
- The Vainshtein mechanism is such that KGB models satisfy solar system constraints
- Differences are clearly observable in the halo mass function
- Future work
  - study lensing effects
  - application to voids





・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・